

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION**

<b>Earnest Lane,</b>	)	<b>CASE NO. 3:11 CV 1178</b>
	)	
<b>Petitioner,</b>	)	<b>JUDGE PATRICIA A. GAUGHAN</b>
	)	
<b>vs.</b>	)	
	)	
<b>John Coleman, Warden,</b>	)	<b><u>Memorandum of Opinion and Order</u></b>
	)	
<b>Respondent.</b>	)	

**Introduction**

This matter is before the Court upon the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Greg White (Doc. 11) which recommends denial of the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pending before the Court. Petitioner did not file objections to the Report and Recommendation. For the following reasons, the Report and Recommendation is ACCEPTED.

**Standard of Review**

Rule 8(b) of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts provides, “The judge must determine *de novo* any proposed finding or

recommendation to which objection is made. The judge may accept, reject, or modify any proposed finding or recommendation.”When no objections have been filed this Court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation. *See* Advisory Committee Notes 1983 Addition to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72.

### **Discussion**

Petitioner was sentenced in the Allen County Court of Common Pleas in October 2001 following a guilty plea to complicity to aggravated arson, complicity to aggravated robbery, and complicity to involuntary manslaughter. The Magistrate Judge found grounds one and five to be procedurally defaulted, and grounds two, three, and four to be without merit. The Court has reviewed the Report and Recommendation and has found no clear error. Accordingly, for the reasons stated in the Report and Recommendation, which is incorporated herein, the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is denied.

### **Conclusion**

Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation is accepted. The Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is denied. Furthermore, for the reasons stated in the Report and Recommendation, the Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith, and that there is no basis upon which to issue a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c); Fed.R.App.P. 22(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: 3/04/14

/s/Patricia A. Gaughan  
PATRICIA A. GAUGHAN  
United States District Judge